

EXHIBIT B

Michael Patiuk

From: Ben Hulse
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 5:00 PM
To: joanericksen_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov; noel_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov
Cc: Jerry Blackwell; Ahmann, Bridget M.; gzimmerman@meshbesh.com; bgordon@levinlaw.com; MVC@ciresiconlin.com; JMC@CiresiConlin.com; Mary Young
Subject: Bair Hugger MDL, 15-2666: PFS Lists to Accompany Joint Agenda and Status Report
Attachments: 1. Bair Hugger MDL 2666 -- Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets (2017.04.14).xlsx; 2. Bair Hugger MDL 2666 -- Def. Notices Sent with No Response (2017.04.14).xlsx; 3. Bair Hugger MDL 2666 -- Persistent Deficiencies (2017.04.14).xlsx

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Noel,

Pursuant to PTO 14, Defendants are providing three lists related to the plaintiff fact sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda that will be provided to the Court.

Sincerely,
Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse
Blackwell Burke P.A.
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3256
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated April 14, 2017)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	PFS Due Date	Comment	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:15-cv-03900-JNE-FLN	Wright v. 3M Company	10/20/2015	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Meshbeshier & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-00136-JNE-FLN	Hager v. 3M Company	1/21/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Meshbeshier & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-00621-JNE-FLN	Weimer v. 3M Company	3/10/2016	1/27/2017	Due date extended to 1/27, but no PFS received since	3/13/17	Lockridge, Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
0:16-cv-02335-JNE-FLN	Colter v. 3M Company	7/6/2016	12/26/2016	Improper DWOP	3/13/17	Meshbeshier & Spence, Ltd.
0:16-cv-02755-JNE-FLN	Fraley v. 3M Company	8/16/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02757-JNE-FLN	Alford v. 3M Company	8/16/2016	12/26/2016	Improper DWOP**	3/13/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02772-JNE-FLN	Wilburn v. 3M Company	8/18/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02803-JNE-FLN	Johnson v. 3M Company	8/19/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:16-cv-02874-JNE-FLN	Garro v. 3M Company	8/25/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-02896-JNE-FLN	Toler v. 3M Company	8/26/2016	12/26/2016		3/13/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:16-cv-03383-JNE-FLN	DePriest v. 3M Company	10/6/2016	1/4/2017		3/13/17	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:16-cv-03431-JNE-FLN	Freeman v. 3M Company	10/11/2016	1/9/2017	Improper DWOP	3/13/17	Shelton Law Group
0:16-cv-03553-JNE-FLN	Barrett v. 3M Company	10/18/2016	1/16/2017		3/13/17	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:16-cv-03635-JNE-FLN	Pierce v. 3M Company	10/26/2016	1/24/2017	Duplicate - Improper DWOP	3/13/17	Shelton Law Group
0:16-cv-03781-JNE-FLN	Fling v. 3M Company	10/31/2016	1/29/2017		3/13/17	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:16-cv-03934-JNE-FLN	Hougen v. 3M Company	11/17/2016	2/15/2017		3/13/17	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:16-cv-04109-JNE-FLN	Prevo v. 3M Company	12/12/2016	3/12/2017			Hamilton Law Firm
0:16-cv-04341-JNE-FLN	Turner v. 3M Company	12/22/2016	3/22/2017			Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:16-cv-04360-JNE-FLN	Spaich v. 3M Company	12/27/2016	3/27/2017			McGlynn, Glissoin & Mouton
0:16-cv-04381-JNE-FLN	Manzanares v. 3M Company	12/28/2016	3/28/2017			Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets

(Updated April 14, 2017)

<u>0:17-cv-00018-JNE-FLN</u>	Meeks v. 3M Company	1/4/2017	4/4/2017			The Olinde Firm, LLC
--	------------------------	----------	----------	--	--	-------------------------

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per the Court's 3/13 Order (D.E. 270)

** "Improper DWOP"
indicates that Plaintiff
filed a notice of
voluntary dismissal
without prejudice after
Defendants had
answered the Master
Complaint. Defendants
contend that these
dismissals are
ineffective under Rule
41(a), and that PFSs
therefore remain due.
Defendants are willing
to enter into
stipulations of dismissal
with prejudice for these